Exhibit 4

UNITED	S	TATE	S	COUR	Т	OF	APPEALS
` FO	R	THE	T	HTRD	C	IRC	UTT

NO. 96-1508

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee v.

JOSEPH M. McDADE,

Defendant

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS,
COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT,
UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Appellant

ON APPEAL FROM THE ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
PENNSYLVANIA DIRECTING THE PRODUCTION OF RECORDS
PURSUANT TO FED.R.CRIM.P. 17(c), AT CRIMINAL NO. 92-249

ARGUED: July 12, 1996

BEFORE: Becker, Stapleton and Greenberg, Circuit Judges.

ORDER

It appearing to the Court that:

- (1). The district court has ruled that the documents at issue are protected by the privilege conferred by the Speech or Debate Clause, and that ruling has not been challenged before us;
- (2). With this determination made, our decision in <u>In</u> re: <u>Grand Jury Proceedings</u>, 587 F.2d 589 (3d. Cir. 1977) ("<u>Eilberg</u>") neither required nor authorized disclosure to the government;
- (3). It was error for the district court to require production of the documents at issue to the government at the time of the district court's order;

It is hereby ORDERED that the portions of the district court's order of June 5, 1996 appealed from are VACATED.*

BY THE COURT:

Circuit Judge

DATED: JUL 1 2 1996

^{*.} If in the course of future proceedings, the district court determines that a legitimate issue exists as to whether there has been a valid waiver of the Committee's privilege, nothing here said is intended to preclude the district court from ordering the documents at issue produced for its inspection in camera in connection with the resolution of that issue.